

## DCF/DAC-Subcommittee Weekly JAD Meeting Minutes

Date: 3/2/21

Start Time: 10:00

End Time: 11:09

Attendees: Mike Lupton, Tom Rose, Larry Brown, Mike Idoni, Johnny Guimaraes, Dan Field, Steven Lord, Danielle Downing, Ryan Lavender, Beau Frierson, Richard Power, Greg Nix, Joanne Szocinski, Mark Grant, Nathan McPherson, Joseph Glidden, Sharyn Dodrill, Diego Wartensleben, Jesse Lindsey, Eduardo De Cardenas, Wen Cao, Tracey Fannon, Nydia Neris, Roderick Harris

Agenda Items: Review Action Items, Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data, Discuss Open Issues

Nathan opened the meeting. He reviewed the action items from last meeting.

Item	Presenter	Time Limit
<p>Nathan opened the meeting with Review of Action Items. He first referenced Beau’s ticket and the action items. Nathan said there were a few items that came to SAMH attention that have required a bit more work. Beau acknowledged. Nathan said follow up would happen.</p> <p>Nathan then brought up that the code issues are yet to be resolved. Beau responded that he saw an email that validation rules were turned off for HCPCS. Nathan confirmed and said he would speak to that in a few minutes.</p>	Nathan	
<p>Nathan then went to the plans for submission of historical data. He asked if CFBHN has settled on a date. Joe said they would be submitting their purge request this week with an estimated completion date of 3/5/21. Nathan requested confirmation from meeting participants on their purge dates. Johnny spoke up, requesting a private meeting with Nathan, Rich and Jesse regarding data integrity in the migration. He said this is due to the number of providers who can only submit in v12 which then presents derivation issues as he translates the v12 values into the v14 values and how that will operate with the validations. Nathan acknowledged and confirmed the requested meeting is scheduled for this Thursday. Johnny was consistent on his concerns regarding the validations and the need for further detail on it.</p>	Nathan	
<p>Nathan then moved on to Open Issues. He reported that Item 1 is expected to be completed by 3/5/21. Mike offered to provide SAMH with his HCPCS to CS. Greg spoke up and asked the room if the current walk thrus could be sent to him to provide some more information for his meeting with the Practice Unit. Nathan then turned to the validation rules for HCPCS. He reviewed that currently the valid covered service rule is turned off and the valid modifier for HCPCS rule is still turned on. Nathan reviewed that while discussions are on going in SAMH, a more final fix will have</p>	Nathan	

<p>to be held off until later. Beau said his only concern was related to GT and CR modifiers. Greg requested Beau submit a ticket on his list of expansion requests and Beau confirmed he would do so.</p> <p>Nathan then moved to SUD coding issues. He reported SAMH has not settled upon the approach but hopefully will settle on a plan this week. Sharyn raised concern that this is not a v14 issue – it has been an ongoing issue since SAMHIS. Nathan requested if Option 2 appeared the best route and received confirmation. Discussion held amongst Diego, Jesse and Nathan regarding codes 98 and 99. Sharyn said if either of those were chosen none of the necessary fields can be completed. Jesse said he would write this discussion up into an email and send it. Sharyn said the current coding is clinically inappropriate and confusing. She said the code listing substance used automatically identifies the client as SUD which may not be accurate in the least. She said that a diagnosis should be required to confirm an SUD in the system.</p> <p>Nathan moved on to Treatment Locale Codes. He said Greg would respond to any questions. Sharyn said services should not be coded as treatment locale and she provided a list verbally of what should be removed. He then asked Rich if removing those codes would affect his TEDS reporting. Rich said he wasn't clear on what these codes are for. Sharyn said it's primarily for the FACT teams because 75% of service delivery must occur outside the home. Nathan and Sharyn worked through the list deleting the various services. Discussion held on foster home designations. Agreement made that list was finalized. Jesse asked which code should be utilized – v12 or v14? Diego said he would prefer to keep the codes in line with v12. Jesse and Greg to review prior to updating the pamphlet.</p>		
<p>Nathan brought up Diego's tickets from the previous week – 1828071 and 1826955. He said these were generated due to a bug in the Unique Constraint Model. Jesse elaborated on the issue. He said the bug was in a few of the sub-entities that was generating the errors. Reviewed ticket 1828085. Jesse said there were some issues they identified in the ICD-10 codes prior to updating the system. These issues were resolved, and the ICD codes were updated in the system. Nathan returned to 1826955 and reviewed that the CGAS rule is required for the federal reporting. Rich then took over and reviewed the fix he had come up with. Discussion held between Rich, Diego and Sharyn. Recommendation made to require CGAS in first POM but not subsequent POMs. Nathan said this sounded like a v15 change due to the scope. General discussion ensued regarding the validity of various substance abuse diagnoses or potential issues. Nathan brought discussion to a close, stating the issues raised appear reasonable but SAMH requires further time to review the issue before settling upon a fix.</p>	<p>Nathan</p>	

<p>Nathan touched on final item regarding an extension of the relaxed validations. General discussion ensued primarily between Rich and Mike Lupton on both the need to have the information while at the same time taking account of the migration to v14. Primarily – Mike identified a problem down the road where providers submitting data would likely encounter an error from FASAMS.</p>		
<p>Nathan concluded the meeting.</p>		