

DCF/DAC-Subcommittee Weekly JAD Meeting Minutes

Date: 2/16/20

Start Time: 10:00

End Time: 11:05

Attendees: Mike Lupton, Tom Rose, Larry Brown, Mike Idoni, Johnny Guimaraes, Dan Field, Steven Lord, Danielle Downing, Ryan Lavender, Beau Frierson, Richard Power, Greg Nix, Joanne Szocinski, Mark Grant, Nathan McPherson, Joseph Glidden, Sharyn Dodrill, Diego Wartensleben, Jesse Lindsey, Eduardo De Cardenas, Victor Gaines, William Garcia, Jennifer Ramirez

Agenda Items: Review Action Items, Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data, Discuss Open Issues

Nathan opened the meeting. He reviewed the action items from last meeting.

Item	Presenter	Time Limit
Sharyn responded on the discharge reason code crosswalk from v12 to v14. Nathan requested Rich respond. Rich said he understood the cross walk as being between 12 and 14. Sharyn said that was her fault and she referenced an email she had sent shortly before scheduled meeting.	Nathan, Rich	
Nathan then reviewed the current plans for the ME's to purge historical data. Debra spoke up and said her entity's plans may change due to some issues she had identified. She reported they had an issue still outstanding from last week. Nathan said this would be followed up on. Mike asked if the monthly data uploads could be scrubbed for the time being until a given entity is ready to submit in v14. Nathan asked Rich to respond. Rich said it was out of the question at this time to stop ongoing data submissions. Johnny said there are some new issues from the providers so their target date may have to be shifted but will confirm by next week.	Nathan	
Nathan then moved on to open issues. He reported on the meeting held with Beau Frierson that identified some pamphlet issues re: OCAs and HCPCS. Discussion began with Greg highlighting some of the issues and a request for a separate meeting. Sharyn asked if the validations can be dropped. Rich said those validations can't at this time. Larry and Sharyn asked if SAMH wants to get this data in. Larry said the department does not have a matrix for how these codes are being used in the field. Larry said the system must validate what happens in the field accurately. Mike Idoni than spoke up. He said what Beau was doing was developing the walkthrough of v12 values to v14. He said Beau identified where the gaps are. Discussion held on the relevance of HCPCS to Covered Service and the need to reflect clinical reality in FASAMS.		

<p>Nathan then brought up Program Area Code. He said they had received good feedback. He summarized the response and the response was to make no changes at this time. He said he did receive a response from Credible. Danielle shared that after staffing with her people, the issue of PAC and age, stuck out. Nathan said there are two current recommendations – make the change in v15 or shoe horn in at present. General discussion held on POMS, program area, and treatment updates. Nathan said based on discussion, the department can make the necessary fix for now but wait til v15 to make the fix permanent. Rich confirmed this would be best addressed in v15. General discussion of POMS and various placement in various sub-entities of FASAMS.</p>		
<p>Nathan then brought up age and said the decision was to keep it as is for the time being. No response from attendees.</p>		
<p>Nathan then brought up the crosswalk which requires more detail and effort to finalize. Jesse said the new discharge reason codes were defined by this group and they do deviate slightly from the existing TEDS fields. He said if there is an issue regarding unknowns. Sharyn said they had all decided to eliminate the unknown because that is not satisfactory answer from the field. General discussion held regarding discharge reason codes. Sharyn specified her request to remove 97 and 98 from existing code values. Sharyn requested her email to SAMH be distributed to all stakeholders. Nathan reviewed the final two action items – pamphlet update was completed and historical dates. Jesse presented the various options. Jesse showed participants the new parameter rules in FASAMS: HistoricalDateWinter, HistoricalDateSummer and HistoricalDateInvalid. These will allow for submission of clients that fall in certain parameters defined by the three new parameter rules in FASAMS. Jesse acknowledge further discussion on this will be necessary before settling upon the transition plan. Joseph brought up that co-occurring should not be allowed in the historical data. Nathan wrapped up and dismissed meeting.</p>		