

DCF/DAC-Subcommittee Bi-Weekly JAD

Date: 1/5/20

Start Time: 10:00

End Time: 11:00

Attendees: Jonathan Hall, Mike Lupton, Larry Brown, Johnny Guimaraes, Mike Idoni, Steven Lord, Danielle Downing, Ryan Lavender, Beau Frierson, Richard Power, Greg Nix, Joanne Szocinski, Lisa Tajdari, Mark Grant, Nathan McPherson, Tracey Fannon, Matt Lightner, Roderick Harris, Joseph Glidden, Sharyn Dodrill, Diego Wartensleben, Jennifer Ramirez, Rodney Pritchard, Seana Zagar, Jesse Lindsey, Nydia Neris, Andrew Barden, Shivana Gentry, Eduardo De Cardenas, Victor Gaines, Sai Maddipoti, William Garcia

Agenda Items:

Item	Presenter	Time Limit
<p>1. Discharge Reasons Codes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Jonathan opened meeting with brief introduction of the two agenda topics. He requested Jesse confirm that the problem lies in the subcontract OCAs and the system hasn't produced an ideal solution yet.- Jonathan brought up the list of discharge code values that had been created by SAMH. He reviewed how the issue came to exist due to the development process for v14 and these codes were accidentally lost in the shuffle. He reviewed the last staffing where the discharge reasons were determined so what remains is to confirm the reasons and what code to assign to them. He stated SAMH is very sensitive to the fact that changes in the system at this time has effects that can be unexpected, and he requested feedback as to the problems potentially to be experienced-Steven brought up the idea of eliminating any of the original code values and create new ones starting perhaps at 21,22,etc.- Discussion began regarding discharge codes going back to v12 and how to transfer these codes to clients. Issue of mismatch between same code numbers for different values was brought up. Example of having to report on deaths of past twenty years and this would be create a major complication. Mark asked if there was any identifiable issue of using different numbers. William brought up that changing numbers works for now but down the road there would be a need to refine those values. General discussion of current codes between versions and how to account for them all was had. Sai directed a question to Jesse and Jonathan that brought up the issue of notification of when codes are changed in FASAMS compared to when that change is reported in the pamphlet. Discussion of difficulty on transferring values between versions. Jonathan issued an apology on behalf SAMH for losing track on this matter and clarified that the goal is to find a solution	Jonathan Hall	10:00-10:20

<p>that is minimally disruptive to everyone. Discussion held on what would be required in any subsequent code changes – complete reload or can it be more specific. Mark proposed eliminating v14 codes and simply continue to utilize v13. Jonathan acknowledged and agreed on the problems presented. Sharyn asked for clarification on whether the discharge codes are the only misaligned codes. Jesse and Jonathan responded that based on their review of everything, these were the only codes and they got lost in the shuffle somewhere. Sharyn said that was understood – there was a lot happening and she would have been surprised if something hadn’t gotten lost so that’s not the primary concern. Mark confirmed that they had submitted two entire facilities into v14 and the only code issue they ran in to were these codes. Jonathan proposed a solution of aligning the codes to v13. No objections issued. Sai requested clarification on effective date. Jonathan and Jesse said they could get this programmed in to FASAMS by the end of the week. Discussion moved to discharge locale and the need for further work on that. Jonathan asked participants to think about these matters for final discussion later this week.</p>		
<p>2. Submission OCA Effective Dates in Subcontract - Jonathan opened this topic by referencing Jennifer had encountered rejections based on effective dates in the system. He placed the topic in open discussion. Jesse said this was the same problem encountered by Debbie and Roderick. Roderick spoke up and said that he expects this to be a tricky one – specifically the opioid grants. He said that the ME’s know when the OCAs are effective because they receive the paperwork and know when the OCAs are effective. He asked if this could be turned off in FASAMS and for FASAMS to accept their validation of effective dates. Jonathan asked if what Roderick was requesting was simply to accept the validation to come from the ME. Jonathan said he would need to staff that thought internally before speaking on it. He opened the floor to any thoughts on putting the validation on the MEs not SAMH. Nathan asked a clarifying question to Roderick if the issue really lies in the subcontracts. Roderick said it would. Greg asked if any of the MEs had encountered a discrepancy between their effective dates versus SAMH effective date. Roderick said he would need to do some additional research and provide that to Greg later. Larry said the only time they’ve encountered this issue was a few weeks ago and it appeared to be due to federal OCAs. Jonathan identified the potential issue being that MEs receive schedule of funds, but SAMH cannot program in the new values because policy and contracts have to determine what services are allowable. Roderick and Larry said that was exactly the problem. Discussion held between Larry, Roderick and Jonathan regarding the process as it currently exists. Jonathan said he would have to look into this internally to ascertain the process better. Jonathan acknowledged the gap between receipt of schedule of funds and SAMH coding those into FASAMS. Meeting concluded</p>	Jonathan Hall	10:20-10:40

with participants requested to discuss with their ME and providers on thoughts and considerations regarding the DischargeTreatmentLocale codes to be discussed in further detail at the next work group.		
--	--	--