

Meeting Summary



Project / Initiative:	FASAMS
Meeting Purpose:	Data Advisory Committee (DAC) Meeting
Meeting Date:	4/27/2021
Meeting Time:	10:00am - 11:00am

	Attendee	Office		Attendee	Office
			x	Danielle Downing,	Credible
x	Richard Power	SAMH		Natalie Kelly	FAME
x	Greg Nix	SAMH		Paul Beebe	FAME
x	Tracey Fannon	SAMH	x	Jesse Lindsey	FEI
	Shivana Gentry	SAMH		Andrew Barden	FEI
x	Ed De Cardenas	SAMH		Rodney Pritchard	Knight Software
	Nathan McPherson	OITS		Josh Botbol	Let's Talk Counseling
	Mark Grant	OITS		Arthur Cooksey	Let's Talk Interactive
	Victor Gaines	OITS		Jason Lee	Let's Talk Interactive
x	Wen Cao	OITS	x	Jennifer Ramirez	LSF
	Mike Idoni	BHCPNS		Ryan Lavender	Netsmart
x	Beau Frierson	BHCPNS		Andy Mead	Netsmart
x	Sharyn Dodrill	Carisk		Seana Zagar	Qualifacts
x	Diego Wartensleben	Carisk		Matt Lightner	Streamline
x	Larry Brown	CFBHN	x	Katie Morrow	Streamline
	Joseph Glidden	CFBHN	x	Johnny Guimaraes	Thriving Mind (SFBHN)
x	Joanne Szocinski	CFBHN	x	Debbie Stephenson	Five Points
	Nydia Neris	CFBHN		Bryan Micu	
x	Mike Lupton	CFCHS	x	Dan Field	
x	Tom Rose	CFCHS	x	Chris Jones	
x	William Garcia	CFCHS	x	Lori Nicolosi	
	Steve Lord	Circles of Care			

Agenda and Discussion Summary

#	Topic	Comments
1	Review Action Items	<i>Nathan opened the meeting with a review of outstanding action items. He identified the open issues are primarily Sharyn's App1 revision requests. He then moved to historical data submission plans.</i>
2	Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data	Plans for Submission of Historical Data

Meeting Summary



Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion
SFBHN	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21
LSF	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21
CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/30/21
CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/30/21
NWFHN (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/30/21
BBHC (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	Complete
SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	Complete

Johnny reported a need to push their deadline back a few weeks due to a technical issue that cropped up regarding provider data uploads. He reported he didn't have a good idea when this issue would be resolved, and FASAMS data entry can begin again. He reported 5/15 as the new deadline. Jennifer said they are still updating data going in to UAT and they need to push their deadline back as well do to some internal technical issues. She reported they expect to begin their purge on 5/15. Debbie reported that NWFHN was purged last night and when attempting to reupload NWFHN she ran into some technical issues that she is now working on. She reported her plan is to pause temporarily until she gets some feedback from the Department. She reported that if the unexpected error gets fixed today than she would target their purge for a month out from that point. Joe reported he may need to push their deadline out a week or two due to some internal technical difficulties. Nathan thanked everyone for their feedback and completion time updates.

Meeting Summary



3	Open Issues	<p>1. ME Access to FASAMS Base Tables On 4/2/2021, DCF met with the users provided this access. These users were provided with credentials and instructions to connect to the base tables in the UAT environment. Update: On 4/22/2021 DCF met with users to verify access to the PROD environment.</p> <p><i>Nathan then moved to this topic. He reported that submitters now have access to UAT and PROD tables. Jesse reported that Larry had some internal issues but overall all issues reported in have been fixed and should be good to go. Sharyn asked when they would have access to it. Nathan said the existing policy of three days prior and three days following data submission during which submitters will have access due to concerns about data fidelity. Discussion ensued between Nathan and Sharyn regarding limitations on the system and reason for the strict access availability.</i></p> <p>2. Requested Appendix 1 Changes from Sharyn Dodrill Sharyn Dodrill requested 7 changes to Appendix 1. The first 6 were reviewed on 4/20/2021.</p> <p><i>Nathan then moved to this topic. Regarding Table 14 Evaluation Level Issues Greg requested an update and is waiting to hear back from Wes Evans that the ranges that Sharyn provided are accurate prior to making the changes. The discussion them moved to DCF's Draft FY 21-21 Contract Documents. Greg advised there are no changes and we are to continue using all the current assessments that are listed. Sharyn asked if they can be removed from FASAMS and Greg advised they cannot, and we must still collect these assessment data. Sharyn asked how we would show improvement then? Greg reminded everyone we have to operate of off guidance 24, which Sharyn stated does not match FASAMS. She expressed her concern for having to do the update every 90 days and Greg stated the discission was made to do this 180 days not every 90, as that was considered excessive. Greg advised a meeting is needed with Jimmers and Suzette to further discuss this issue. Nathan moved the topic to changes in Table 3 and Greg advised he has to follow-up with Jimmers. Table 4 was then discussed, and Greg stated it was decided that Peer Recovery Services are allowable. Sharyn asked if this means the way Peer Recovery Services is counted is based on recovery support? Greg stated yes. Sharyn said this is a new way of calculation and specifications are needed on Table 4 on how this should properly be done. Table 4 was pulled up to further discuss. The meeting then moved to the next topic of DJJ facility and Table 14. Greg said this change will be made. Nathan then brought up the last item from Sharyn regarding SUD and inaccurate reporting. Sharyn said her concern was lowered with the change in title to table 20. General discussion ensued with general consensus that with change in table 20 their concerns are significantly reduced.</i></p> <p>3. Questions from Mike Lupton *NEW*</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> If an element is only required for a specific program area and is not to be provided otherwise, should that element be excluded entirely? For example the SchoolDaysAttended element The Unable to perform daily living activities element has historically been an AMH only element. In the current documentation it says it is used for priority
---	-------------	---

Meeting Summary



	<p>population determination, but otherwise it is just generally required – should it be provided for all program areas?</p> <p>c. One other issue which was discussed back in the fall and approved by Ute, but I think fell off the radar with Ute and Jonathan leaving, was the aligning of the Biopsychosocial levels with the Placement codes. The current values match the LOCUS/CALOCUS levels, which doesn't make much sense.</p> <p><i>Nathan then turned to this item. Mike reported his concerns were reduced since he sent his missive. Primarily he was concerned with the levels reported on the BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL assessment. He reported the numbers reported on that assessment should relate to placement. Nathan requested clarification where the document changes need to occur. Mike reported Table 14. Jesse said this would be a quick fix once he receives approval from DCF to make the change. Larry had questions regarding the transition from child to adult in the system. Nathan requested some examples he could study before offering a response.</i></p> <p>4. Questions from Dan Field *NEW* Dan submitted questions pertaining to: a. UnableToPerformDailyLivingActivitiesCode b. AnnualPersonallIncomeAmount and AnnualFamilyIncomeAmount</p> <p><i>Nathan then turned to this topic with 5 minutes remaining in the meeting. Dan said these were questions generated by a provider. He requested clarification as to when this value must be answered. Rich said it's just for AMH, but it could have wider requirements. Jesse said the rule was turned off with potential turn back on in v15. Dan said the income amount question related to personal income is a number, but family income is not. Jesse and Rich said that was a mistake in the pamphlet.</i></p> <p>5. Requested Chapter 5 change from Sharyn Dodrill *NEW* Sharyn Dodrill requested "DCF" be added as a new PrimaryPaymentSourceCode value in Chapter 5.</p>
--	---