

Meeting Summary



Project / Initiative:	FASAMS
Meeting Purpose:	Data Advisory Committee (DAC) Meeting
Meeting Date:	3/9/2021
Meeting Time:	10:00am - 11:00am

	Attendee	Office		Attendee	Office
	Jonathan Hall	SAMH	x	Danielle Downing,	Credible
	Richard Power	SAMH		Natalie Kelly	FAME
x	Greg Nix	SAMH	x	Paul Bebee	FAME
	Tracey Fannon	SAMH	x	Jesse Lindsey	FEI
	Shivana Gentry	SAMH		Andrew Barden	FEI
x	Ed De Cardenas	SAMH		Rodney Pritchard	Knight Software
	Nathan McPherson	OITS		Josh Botbol	Let's Talk Counseling
x	Mark Grant	OITS		Arthur Cooksey	Let's Talk Interactive
	Victor Gaines	OITS		Jason Lee	Let's Talk Interactive
x	Wen Cao	OITS		Jennifer Ramirez	LSF
	Mike Idoni	BHCPNS	x	Ryan Lavender	Netsmart
	Beau Frierson	BHCPNS		Andy Mead	Netsmart
x	Sharyn Dodrill	Carisk		Roderick Harris	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Diego Wartensleben	Carisk		Lisa Tajdari	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Larry Brown	CFBHN	x	Roderick Harris	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Joseph Glidden	CFBHN		Seana Zagar	Qualifacts
x	Joanne Szocinski	CFBHN		Matt Lightner	Streamline
	Nydia Neris	CFBHN		Katie Morrow	Streamline
x	Mike Lupton	CFCHS	x	Johnny Guimaraes	Thriving Mind (SFBHN)
x	Tom Rose	CFCHS	x	Debbie Stephenson	Five Points
x	William Garcia	CFCHS	x	Zachary Jimison	
x	Steve Lord	Circles of Care	x	Dan Field	
X	Lori Nicolosi				

X – Attended in person

R – Attended remotely

Meeting Summary



Agenda and Discussion Summary

#	Topic	Comments																								
1	Review Action Items	Nathan began meeting with brief review of agenda. He then turned to action items. He reviewed the item regarding OCA's will be addressed today, FASAMS validation codes and a third action item. Nathan then reviewed the current plans for submission of historical data. He requested each ME confirm the current submission plans. CFBHN confirmed. BBHC confirmed. Nathan then moved on to open issues.																								
2	Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data	<p style="text-align: center;">Plans for Submission of Historical Data</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitting Entity</th> <th>Plan Description</th> <th>Estimated Completion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SFBHN</td> <td>Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>3/31/21 4/30/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LSF</td> <td>Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>4/30/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CFCHS (Five Points supported)</td> <td>Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13</td> <td>4/9/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CFBHN</td> <td>Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13</td> <td>2/28/21 3/5/21 3/12/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)</td> <td>Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13</td> <td>4/9/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBHC (Carisk supported)</td> <td>Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>2/28/21 3/12/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SEFBHN (Carisk supported)</td> <td>Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>2/28/21 3/12/21</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion	SFBHN	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	3/31/21 4/30/21	LSF	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21	CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/9/21	CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	2/28/21 3/5/21 3/12/21	NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/9/21	BBHC (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21 3/12/21	SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21 3/12/21
Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion																								
SFBHN	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	3/31/21 4/30/21																								
LSF	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21																								
CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/9/21																								
CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	2/28/21 3/5/21 3/12/21																								
NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/9/21																								
BBHC (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21 3/12/21																								
SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21 3/12/21																								
3	Open Issues	<p>1. Review Treatment Locale Codes</p> <p>Update: DCF staff have completed all updates discussed in the last DAC meeting and will present new list of codes to be published in Pamphlet 155-2.</p> <p>Nathan reviewed the current list of locale codes compiled by SAMH. He reviewed that SAMH had created the list to conform to v12 settings as</p>																								

Meeting Summary



distinctly as possible. No concerns stated. Nathan asked for confirmation that these setting codes are the ones should be entered into the system. No issue raised. Greg spoke to how fast these could be entered into the pamphlet and system and said 3/19/21 would be the earliest. Greg confirmed these codes were aligned to v12 as clearly as possible and that any code starting at 31 are new setting codes.

2. Recent Issues from Carisk

Ticket #1828071 – Bug in unique constraint validation

Resolved

Ticket #1826955 – CGAS evaluation is required for every POM – DCF considering disabling rules

Resolved: DCF has disabled the two CGAS-related rules and submitted an enhancement to replace them with one, more effective rule. ***NEW***

Ticket # 1828085 – Rejection of valid ICD10 codes

Resolved

Nathan then spoke to this item. He confirmed the issues were fixed except for CGAS. Current solution is to disable the two current validation rules that would hinder submission of data. SAMH decided a new single rule will need to be created to validate CGAS but that fix will come later. At this time – the two validation rules have been turned off. Sharyn asked what the new rule will be. Nathan said the plan is to require the CGAS only at the initial admission and none subsequently. Sharyn expressed satisfaction with that plan.

3. Request to extend the relaxed validations requiring a POM, Evaluation and Diagnosis with the Admission through the first quarter of fiscal year 21-22 [Mike Lupton]

Associated rules:

Admission Rule Collection		must have	Q
Name	Status		
Admission Must Have At Least1 Evaluation Unless DCF Contracted Or Operated Rule	<input type="checkbox"/>		⋮
Admission Must Have At Least1 Diagnosis Rule	<input type="checkbox"/>		⋮
Admission Must Have Outcome Measure Rule	<input type="checkbox"/>		⋮

DCF Response: Rather than keeping these rules disabled for three additional months, DCF would prefer that MEs hold new admissions with no prior(v13) POM\Eval\Dx until all required data is available. (This only applies to MEs that are keeping older v13 records.)

Nathan then moved on to this agenda item. He said it would probably be best to have Rich present as he has the greatest understanding of this issue. He said the issue has not yet been resolved but confirmed this was the line of thought for SAMH.

Meeting Summary



	<p>Mike brought to attention that if this plan is chosen, there will be a drop off of data but it should increase gradually over the next few months to provide a complete data inload. Steve brought up the issue of provider compensation being at risk. Mike said his understanding is that the ME's will receive the data but not necessarily in line with data uploads. Sharyn asked if Mike's providers are not going to be up to spec by the deadline. Mike said there is a lot of data they will have to generate but it will be an issue for large providers as this will be a great help.</p> <p>Nathan said SAMH would attempt to have this issue resolved within the next couple weeks.</p> <p>4. Update on DCF's review of valid OCA combinations Update: DCF staff have drafted a reorganized version Pamphlet 155-2, Appendix 1, Table 7 (OCA Codes) as discussed in previous meetings. This information is being reviewed by SAMH contracting staff. *NEW*</p> <p>Nathan then turned to this item and the next. Greg reviewed the meeting held with the Practice Unit the day before. He confirmed that HCPCS outside of CMS can be included. He reviewed that Practice gave authority for prior existing HCPCS can be confirmed and entered into the system. He then reviewed that after a review of all current HCPCS being utilized, a list of codes currently and past not used can then be reviewed by Practice Unit to confirm the addition of any new HCPCS and their combinations. Discussion held on simply activating the v12 codes and then develop a list of codes not allowed in v12 for Practice Unit to review. William requested the codes be tied to the version of FASAMS that the data is being reported in. Jesse clarified that this would be so they could see their historical data while also observing their current data coming in.</p> <p>Nathan reviewed the plan – begin with activating v12 HCPCS, collect updates from Steve's review, create a consolidated list, review with HQ staff, review with DAC and then review plan implementation.</p> <p>5. Update on DCF's review of valid HCPCS codes Update: DCF staff have developed a strategy and plan to update the list of HCPCS codes accepted in FASAMS. *NEW*</p> <p>6. Concerns regarding a new federally funded CARES Act OCA *NEW* From Larry Brown: "We have some concerns regarding a new federally funded CARES Act OCA(all the MEs are getting these new budget allocations) and a more pervasive vocabulary related issue for values 5 & 6 for the program code."</p> <p>Nathan then moved on this item. Larry said this issue came up the previous week's Monday during a discussion he and Joe were having. He said they went into the pamphlet and some concerns came to their attention. Primarily there seemed to be an issue regarding co-occurring and that this is likely to create a major problem in v15. Roderick spoke up and said this is a definite issue and has been discussed in prior conversations. He said these OCAs may be the first co-occurring specific OCA's and the need for definition of this</p>
--	--

Meeting Summary



		<p>issue. Greg to follow up with Jimmers. Request also made for Jimmers and any other policy individuals to be at the next DCF DAC JAD.</p> <p>Meeting then wrapped up and concluded.</p>
--	--	---