

Meeting Summary



Project / Initiative:	FASAMS
Meeting Purpose:	Data Advisory Committee (DAC) Meeting
Meeting Date:	2/23/2021
Meeting Time:	10:00am - 11:00am

	Attendee	Office		Attendee	Office
	Jonathan Hall	SAMH	x	Danielle Downing,	Credible
x	Richard Power	SAMH		Natalie Kelly	FAME
x	Greg Nix	SAMH		Paul Bebee	FAME
x	Tracey Fannon	SAMH	x	Jesse Lindsey	FEI
	Shivana Gentry	SAMH		Andrew Barden	FEI
x	Ed De Cardenas	SAMH		Rodney Pritchard	Knight Software
x	Nathan McPherson	OITS		Josh Botbol	Let's Talk Counseling
x	Mark Grant	OITS		Arthur Cooksey	Let's Talk Interactive
x	Victor Gaines	OITS		Jason Lee	Let's Talk Interactive
x	Wen Cao	OITS	x	Jennifer Ramirez	LSF
x	Mike Idoni	BHCPNS	x	Ryan Lavender	Netsmart
x	Beau Frierson	BHCPNS		Andy Mead	Netsmart
x	Sharyn Dodrill	Carisk		Roderick Harris	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Diego Wartensleben	Carisk	x	Lisa Tajdari	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Larry Brown	CFBHN		Roderick Harris	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Joseph Glidden	CFBHN		Seana Zagar	Qualifacts
x	Joanne Szocinski	CFBHN		Matt Lightner	Streamline
	Nydia Neris	CFBHN		Katie Morrow	Streamline
x	Mike Lupton	CFCHS	x	Johnny Guimaraes	Thriving Mind (SFBHN)
x	Tom Rose	CFCHS	x	Debbie Stephenson	Five Points
x	William Garcia	CFCHS	x	Dan Field	
	Steve Lord	Circles of Care			

X – Attended in person

R – Attended remotely

Meeting Summary



Agenda and Discussion Summary

#	Topic	Comments																								
1	Review Action Items	(Reference THIS spreadsheet)																								
2	Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data	<p style="text-align: center;">Plans for Submission of Historical Data</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitting Entity</th> <th>Plan Description</th> <th>Estimated Completion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SFBHN</td> <td>Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>3/31/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LSF</td> <td>Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>4/30/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CFCHS (Five Points supported)</td> <td>Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13</td> <td>3/31/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CFBHN</td> <td>Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13</td> <td>2/28/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)</td> <td>Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13</td> <td>3/31/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BBHC (Carisk supported)</td> <td>Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>2/28/21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SEFBHN (Carisk supported)</td> <td>Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI</td> <td>2/28/21</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion	SFBHN	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	3/31/21	LSF	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21	CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	3/31/21	CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	2/28/21	NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	3/31/21	BBHC (Carisk supported)	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21	SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21
Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion																								
SFBHN	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	3/31/21																								
LSF	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21																								
CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	3/31/21																								
CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	2/28/21																								
NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	3/31/21																								
BBHC (Carisk supported)	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21																								
SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	2/28/21																								
3	Open Issues	<p>1. Valid Code and OCA combinations [Beau Frierson]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several code combinations are not possible [impact: might cause under reporting] Certain OCA can't be used – lack crosswalk [impact: might hinder billing] <p>Update: DCF met with Beau on 2/15/2021. The team is evaluating options to address is concerns. Expect Beau's recommendation to split the OCA table into three sections (i.e., Active, Carried Forward, Inactive) to be completed by 2/26/2021.</p> <p>2. How should discharge reason codes be cross-walked from v12 to v14? [Diego Wartensleben]</p> <p>Update: v12->v14 and v13->v14 crosswalk information provided</p>																								

Meeting Summary



	<p>3. Use of HCPCS and covered service codes (NEW) We received a suggestion that a workgroup might be established to update the crosswalk of valid HCPCS to DCF covered service codes. DCF Response: SAMH will apply any required corrections to Pamphlet 155-2, Appendix 1, Table 8 (HCPCS Codes with Modifiers and Covered Services). However, any more ambitious changes will need to wait until SAMH has filled some key positions.</p> <p>4. Appendix 1, Table 20 Substance Use Disorder Issue (NEW) Submitted by Sharyn Dodrill: Under Chapter 5, Treatment Episode Data, 3.4.4.7 SubstanceUseDisorders (Subentity of PerformanceOutcomeMeasure):</p> <p>When Disorder Code is either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 98 NOT CLASSIFIED AS PRESCRIPTION OR NONPRESCRIPTION Presenting At-Risk• 99 NOT CLASSIFIED AS PRESCRIPTION OR NONPRESCRIPTION Presenting Substance Abuse Problem, Not Confirmed <p>FASAMS still requires the following fields to be completed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• RouteOfAdministrationCode• FrequencyOfUseCode• FirstUseAge <p>Thus, for those presenting at risk or those without a confirmed disorder, providers are forced to code RouteofAdministration and FrequencyOfUse as “unknown” – not a good solution for data integrity. And FirstUseAge does not include an “unknown” option, forcing providers to knowingly submit inaccurate data. Validation rule should be changed so that these 3 fields are not required when the Disorder Code is 98 or 99. DCF Response: Agree with recommendation</p> <p>5. Proposed Service Setting Codes (NEW) DCF has drafted a table for Service Setting Codes for review and discussion by the DAC</p> <p>6. Direct access to FASAMS Database (NEW)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Access to base tables• Access to reporting data warehouse
--	---

Nathan opened the meeting with a brief review of issues. Diego and Sharyn asked about (????) in the POMS. Diego was reporting that every POM requires a CGAS. Sharyn said that’s new, never been required before. Sharyn requested a relaxation of the POM rule for historical transmissions. Nathan said that request would likely be granted but higher authority needs to respond to. Nathan said he could not provide her an answer just now. Lisa Tajdari said BBCBC would be ready to purge by 4/9/21. She reported they had resolved the errors on their end but they are not yet ready to upload to the PROD environment. General discussion held with Diego regarding error reports he has been receiving for which he submitted a Helpdesk ticket this morning. Jesse replied that Diego is experiencing cascade failures and FASAMS is not set up to identify the root error and instead it requires the submitter to work through

Meeting Summary



each individual error code to resolve step by step. Jesse reviewed that in cascade errors – each error has a root cause but the cascade is not easily determined and FASAMS presently does not have the ability to do so. Jesse said if there was a different way to track the cascades that can certainly be done but overall this issue is going to require further thought and analysis. Discussion concluded.

Nathan returned to the schedule of historical purges and he asked if any of the current timelines have changed. Johnny asked for clarification on the process to purge. Jesse instructed him to submit the request via Helpdesk ticket so it can be tracked and followed through on. Johnny indicated his question was answered. Jennifer said she had not submitted their purge ticket yet as they are finishing some items and current plans are to submit the purge request next week. Joe said CFCHS would request the purge later this week.

Nathan then moved on to the OCA listings in the pamphlet. He reported Greg is in the process of breaking the one table in App1 will be broken out into three separate tables. Discussion ensued with concerns unrelated to the topic at hand. Beau chimed in and he identified the OCAs were not so much the issue but the HCPCS are as there are lacking connections between certain OCAs and existing HCPCS.

Nathan then brought up the discharge reasons codes and the current crosswalks between different versions. Sharyn identified code 3 as being necessary to include in the crosswalks being developed.

Nathan then went to HCPCS. He said the idea of creating a workgroup is excellent but presently due to lack of senior leadership, this would be better addressed later. He said DCF would revisit that issue later. Mike said this goes back to what Sharyn was saying as far as covered services in the crosswalk. Specifically, group services are not identified in the HCPCS and that is a critical need to address. Greg requested Sharyn send him the list she created. Larry brought up further issues and said the Department is almost blind or in the dark as to the range of possibilities for covered services that should be connected to existing HCPCS. Larry said the existing validations should be turned off till a more comprehensive solution can be achieved. He said the list of valid covered services is not nearly comprehensive enough. Larry said the validation rule should remain disabled till a more comprehensive fix can be found

Nathan then moved on to Sharyn's issue she sent in regarding SUD and POMS for codes 98 and 99. She requested the validation rules for this be turned off at this time. Mike said these values were not required in v12 and there isn't a clear understanding as to what those values actually mean. Decision made to implement the fix Sharyn proposed.

Nathan then moved on to proposed service setting codes. Sharyn said this was still not settled upon. Brief discussion held and decision made to schedule a separate meeting to review and hopefully finalize.

Nathan then moved on to direct access to FASAMS database. Jesse said a limited access should be available in early March. Nathan said the Department is rushing this enhancement through to ensure all the IT providers would be able to access their data in preparation for v14 launch.