

DCF/DAC-Subcommittee Bi-Weekly JAD

Date: 8/25/20

Start Time: 10:00

End Time: 11:00

Attendees: Ryan Lavender, Johnny Guimaraes, Jesse Lindsey, Tracey Fannon, Ed De Cardenas, Steve Lord, Mike Lupton, Debbie Stephenson, Tom Rose, Jonathan Hall, Joseph Glidden, Joanne Szocinski, Nydia Neris, Greg, Nix, Diego Wartensleben, Jennifer Ramirez, Nathan McPherson, Danielle Downing, William, Mike Lupton, Roderick Harris, Victor Gaines, Larry Brown

Update on Performance Outcomes:

We're a group that meets every Friday. We have a pretty big cross representation state representatives, clinical people, HQ, provider agencies and MEs. We're just walking through how we can include the performance outcome measurement process with a short-term goal of getting the system better aligned with what's currently in the guidance document required by the Legislature and a longer term goal of seeing are there more valuable, meaningful things for us to measure. Right now we are focusing on our more short-term goals, which is too better align the data collection efforts to the performance outcome measurement.

Item	Presenter	Time Limit
<p>1. Performance Outcome Workgroup Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. CGAS Score (Required) (CMH above the age of five) (January enhancement release) b. ASAM Required (Substance Abuse Placement, Change in Substance Abuse Placement) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • System Validation Rule or Spelled Out in the PAM? <i>-Preference indicated for Business Rule managed through reports. Fact that these are sibling records get into ugly scenarios that serve to block. Needs to be clear that it is required in that scenario. Some point must have a requirement for it. Used to be a rule in the state system to have an ASAM to discharge a client. One problem is with old clients and old contract numbers trying to submit against old system rules.</i> • Program Area: <i>Discussed Diagnosis Requirement at this point</i> c. Overall Functional Assessment- fields: Baseline/Improved/Not Improved <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>No requirement what assessment tool is used. Different tools could be used at different intervals.</i> • <i>Composite scores really aren't that meaningful</i> • <i>Is Maintain Stability appropriate? Clients are going to plateau at a certain point. Determined through algorithm. Agreement on this. Potential to use diagnosis (i.e. SPMI originally maintaining stability is a good thing). Will discuss this with our performance group on Friday.</i> • <i>Is a range appropriate?</i> 	Jonathan Hall	10:00-10:20

<p>2. Pilot Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Participants: LSF, Streamline, MRHC/Starting Point b. Finalized Project Plan/Scope c. Status Report: LSF will be submitting all of the providers d. Central Florida has been submitting records to treatment episode and has been having trouble getting in. Bug in the system that has been fixed and will be deploying solution to UAT by the end of the week. As well as the Provider site use that you submitted, as well. 	Jonathan Hall	10:15-10:30
<p>3. Diagnosis Requirements</p> <p>-What about clients at risk or collateral clients that won't necessarily have a diagnosis? <i>Could put a not formal diagnosis. Some discussion about collateral client—feeling not clinically represented, but it is indicated in funding. Simply adding the rule would address this issue. Some caution for this because practitioners throws in diagnosis left-and-right.</i></p> <p>-How would the POM requirement schedule be built off the diagnosis? <i>Making sure the way that POM diagnosis ties into appropriate POM. Request be to be based on Program Area code. Could provide a Mental Health Diagnosis but not be receiving Mental Health Services. Could be something we address later.</i></p> <p><i>-Possibility of throwing Program Area Code into Placement records.</i></p> <p><i>-Could talk about removing Program Area out of Treatment Episode Completely and basing it on the services. Just looking at the program services. Potential business rule that requires a POM for submitted service associated with</i></p> <p><i>-In favor of slowing down to solve known problems. Full DAC get as broad of a consensus as we can and also get feedback from them.</i></p>	Jonathan Hall	10:30-10:45
<p>4. FAME Response – We did get their memo and we are preparing a response and we'll get it out to you. We're taking our time to ensure we address everything.</p>	Jonathan Hall	10:45 – 11:00