# Action 4 Child Protection

# Florida Department of Children and Families RSF Case Review Quarter #3 2016 Review Completed by Action for Child Protection Southern Region Date: 6/26/2017

## **Overview and Method**

Action for Child Protection, Inc. completed a case record review requested by the Florida Department of Children and Families to assess the implementation of the Florida Safety Methodology, in particular to explore the interrater reliability of the Critical Child Safety Practice Experts and decision making at case closure for cases identified as requiring Rapid Safety Feedback. Cases were randomly selected from the six regions in Florida and the sample was provided to Action for Child Protection. Cases were reviewed off-site by Action staff utilizing Qualtrics survey software and FSFN access provided by the Department. This report provides a summary of key findings for inter-rater reliability for the CCSPE regarding present danger, present danger planning, present danger management, information collection, safety determination, supervisor consultation and case being on track.



## Present Danger Assessment: Item #2

Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500

## **Data Summary**

- This data represents the accuracy and agreement by the review team with the determination the CCSPE made at the point in time of their review of the case in regards to Item #2.
- Overall, for the point in time of the review, the review team determined that 88 % (n=7) of the cases reviewed, that the CCSPE was accurate in their assessment regarding the sufficiency of the present danger assessment.

#### Areas for Consideration

• Present danger sufficiency for Item #2 was rated by the CCSPE at 50% (n=4). At closure also identified 38% (n=3) of the cases were noted to be sufficient for the determination of present danger, based upon the present danger assessment.



## Present Danger Safety Planning Sufficiency: Item #3.0 and Item #3.1

## **Data Summary**

• This data represents the accuracy and agreement by the review team with the determination the CCSPE made at the point in time of their review of the case in regards to Item #3 and Item #3.1.

Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500

• Overall, for the point in time of the review, the review team determined that 100% (n=8) of the cases reviewed, that the CCSPE was accurate in their assessment regarding the sufficiency of the present danger plan and management of the present danger safety plan.

## **Areas for Consideration**

- At case closure, the review team noted that the ratings regarding sufficiency of information for Item #3 and Item #3.1 was unchanged. This review was done solely on the case documentation at case closure by the review team.
- Present danger sufficiency for Item #3 and Item #3.1 was rated by the CCSPE at 0% (n=0). At closure the review team identified 0% (n=0) of the cases were noted to be sufficient for the determination of present danger plan and management of the present danger safety plan based upon the present danger plan and case notes completed in the case record.



## Information Collection: Item# 5.0-5.6

## **Data Summary**

- This data represents the accuracy and agreement by the review team with the determination the CCSPE made at the point in time of their review of the case in regards to Item #5.0-5.6.
- Overall, for the point in time of the review, the review team determined that 88% (n=7) of the cases reviewed, that the CCSPE was accurate in their assessment regarding the sufficiency of information collection.

Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500

## **Areas for Consideration**

- Of particular concern is that at case closure, the review team noted that the ratings regarding sufficiency of information for all Items #5.0-5.6 remained static or decreased slightly. This review was done solely on the case documentation at case closure by the review team.
- Table 1 represents the CCSPE review findings.
- Table 2 represents review team findings at case closure. Highlighted figures represent a slight decrease or no change in ratings between the CCSPE and review team. Across all domains, the sufficiency of information at case closure was noted to be have remained relatively static from the point in time of the review conducted by the CCSPE.

#	Question	Yes		No		Not Rated	
1	5.1 Extent of the alleged maltreatment	33.33%	1	29.41%	5	7.14%	2
2	5.2 Nature of maltreatment	33.33%	1	29.41%	5	7.14%	2
3	5.3 Child Functioning	0.00%	0	11.76%	2	21.43%	6
4	5.4 Adult Functioning	0.00%	0	11.76%	2	21.43%	6
5	5.5 Parenting General	0.00%	0	11.76%	2	21.43%	6
6	5.6 Parenting discipline/behavior management	33.33%	1	5.88%	1	21.43%	6

## **Table 1. CCSPE Review: Domains**

## Table 2. ACP Review: Domains

#	Question	Yes		No		Not Rated	
1	5.1 Extent of the alleged maltreatment	0.00%	0	31.58%	<mark>6</mark>	7.14%	2
2	5.2 Nature of maltreatment	100.00%	1	26.32%	<mark>5</mark>	7.14%	2
3	5.3 Child Functioning	0.00%	0	10.53%	<mark>2</mark>	21.43%	6
4	5.4 Adult Functioning	0.00%	0	10.53%	<mark>2</mark>	21.43%	6
5	5.5 Parenting General	0.00%	0	10.53%	<mark>2</mark>	21.43%	6
6	5.6 Parenting discipline/behavior management	0.00%	0	10.53%	<mark>2</mark>	21.43%	6

Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500



## Family Functioning Assessment and Safety Decisions: Item #8

## **Data Summary**

- This data represents the accuracy and agreement by the review team with the determination the CCSPE made at the point in time of their review of the case in regards to Item #8.0
- Overall, for the point in time of the review, the review team determined that 88% (n=7) of the cases reviewed, that the CCSPE was accurate in their assessment regarding the sufficiency of information collection.

## **Areas for Consideration**

- Of particular concern is that at case closure, the review team noted that the ratings regarding the safety decision, Item #8, decreased slightly from the point in time of the CCSPE review and the review conducted by the review team. This review was done solely on the case documentation at case closure by the review team.
- Safety determination accuracy for Item #8 was rated by the CCSPE at 67% (n=2). At closure the review team identified 33% (n=1) of the cases were noted to have safety determinations that were supported by the FFA.

Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500



## Supervisor Consultation and Guidance: Item #10

## **Data Summary**

- This data represents the accuracy and agreement by the review team with the determination the CCSPE made at the point in time of their review of the case in regards to Item #10.0
- Overall, for the point in time of the review, the review team determined that 100% (n=8) of the cases reviewed, that the CCSPE was accurate in their assessment regarding the supervisor consultation and guidance.

## Areas for Consideration

- Supervisor consultation was noted by both the CCSPE and Action review team to occurring approximately 25% of the time for cases that were identified.
- Supervisor consultation, when occurring, was frequently noted to lack the depth and breadth of guidance needed in relation to the case dynamics.
- Table 3 identifies the CCSPE review findings for Item #10.
- Table 4 identifies Action Review team findings for Item #10.

Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500

## Table 3. CCSPE Review for Item #10

#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	25.00%	2
2	No	75.00%	6
	Total	100%	8

# Table 4. ACP Review for Item #10

#	Answer	%	Count
1	Yes	25.00%	2
2	No	75.00%	6
	Total	100%	8

## Investigation on Track: Item #11



Executive Offices: 925 6<sup>th</sup> Street NW #4 Albuquerque, NM 87102 (505) 345-2500

## **Data Summary**

- This data represents the accuracy and agreement by the review team with the determination the CCSPE made at the point in time of their review of the case in regards to Item #11.0
- Overall, for the point in time of the review, the review team determined that 88% (n=7) of the cases reviewed, that the CCSPE was accurate in their assessment regarding the investigation being on track.

## Areas for Consideration

- The CCSPE identified 57% of the applicable cases were on target based upon the CPI and CPIS information during the consultation.
- At closure, and review of the case by ACP also identified 67% of the applicable cases remained on target and were completed, as outlined in the consultation and actions conducted by the CPI and CPIS.

## **Summary of Findings:**

Action Review team identified a high degree of consistency in regards to the reviews completed by the CCSPE, both for partially and fully completed reviews. CCSPE's provided accurate and detailed feedback to CPI and CPIS's that were aligned with practice and were appropriate based upon the case record and information provided during the case consultation.

Despite the guidance being provided by the CCSPE during the case consultations and subsequent written reviews, frequently cases did not reflect information shared during the consultations and actions identified by the CCSPE not being completed prior to the investigation being closed. In particular, in regards to information collection documented in the family functioning assessment and subsequently the supporting information regarding the safety determination.

Supervisor consultation was noted to be insufficient for the majority of cases reviewed and for cases that were noted to be on track during the consultation, actions were not completed upon case closure.